

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,873	08/18/2003	Gregory P. Tzap	10775-1U1 (210775.0006)	3397
570	7590 06/23/2005		EXAMINER	
AKIN GUMI	P STRAUSS HAUER	TRUONG, DUC		
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/642,873	TZAP ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Duc Truong	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 April 2005.					
This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	Examiner Duc Truong Pears on the cover sheet with				

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 4/29/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Amendment submitted by Applicant does not overcome the rejection made by Examiner in the last Office action and for the following reasons:

Claims 1-6, 14 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the use of melamine base resin and one reactant compound and fatty acid, does not reasonably provide enablement for the use of "at least one melamine base resin and at least one reactant compound---and combinations thereof" in claim 1 or "at least one reactant compound further comprises a fatty acid in that the amended claim 3 requires the presence of at least two components, a melamine base resin and a reactant compound wherein at least one reactant comprises a cashew nut shell liquid---, and a fatty acid, and combination thereof".

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Note that the claims, as amended, are very broad and are not included from the teachings of the Specification.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chem Abstract 119: 161751 or 112: 181468 or 124: 205109 or 115: 258415 or 113: 213128.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons as stated in the last Office action and for the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/642,873

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant argues that the references do not disclose the melamine ring containing copolymer of formula I. Applicant is correct in stating that. However, the claimed formula I is very broad with so many variations and no mechanism has been established how to arrive that formula. That means the products of the claimed formula must be derived from the claimed process 14 with the reactants and derivatives.

Note also that all of the references disclose the reactants under process conditions of the original claims, therefore, the claimed product of formula I must be considered inherent in the prior art. unless Applicant provides evidence to show that they are different.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1711

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER